UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/822,455 | 04/12/2004 | James D. Cook | MTEZ 2 00035-1 | 2908 |
| 27885 FAY SHARPE | 7590 09/19/200 LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| | OR AVENUE, SEVEN | SINGH, SUNIL | | |
| CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3672 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/19/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/822,455 | COOK, JAMES D. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sunil Singh | 3672 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 .</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action for allowated the practice under the practice | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) | awn from consideration. 8,80 and 81 is/are allowed. ejected. | the application. |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate |

DETAILED ACTION

The withdrawn claims 59-64,70,72,77 have been rejoined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 54,55,72-74,57,68,76,77,79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 54 calls for a "base ring" and "a split"; however, claim 33 calls for "a base ring" and "a split"; it is unclear how they are related.

Claim 55 calls for "a base ring" and "a weakened area that splits an otherwise circumferentially continuous structure"; claim 33 calls for "a base ring" and "a split"; it is unclear how they are related.

Claim 72 is improper since claim 72 is directed to the "base" that fractures which is different from the "base" having a split. Claim 72 cannot depend from claim 33 since it is directed to a Specie mutually exclusive of the Specie of Claim 33. Claim 72 should be canceled.

Claim 73 calls for "a base ring" and "fingers"; however claim 33 calls for "a base ring" and "fingers"; it is unclear how they are related.

Claim 57 calls for language that is duplicate of what is recited in claim 43 from which it depends. Claim 57 should be canceled.

Art Unit: 3672

Claim 68 calls for "a base ring"; claim 46 calls for "a base ring"; it is unclear how they are related.

Claim 76 calls for "a base ring"; claim 46 calls for "a base ring"; it is unclear how they are related.

Claim 77 is improper since claim 77 is directed to the "base" that fractures which is different from the "base" having a split. Claim 77 cannot depend from claim 46 since it is directed to a Specie mutually exclusive of the Specie of Claim 46. Claim 77 should be canceled.

Claim 79 calls for language that is duplicate of what is recited in claim 46 from which it depends. Claim 79 should be canceled.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 33,53,58-61,63,64,72-74,43,70,46,62,67, 80-81,49,71,78,50 are allowed.
- 3. Claims 54,55,73-74,68,76 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,455

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sunil Singh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672 Sunil Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672 Page 4

SS

9/12/08